Evolution of the wound infection continuum

In 2016, the International Wound Infection Institute reviewed the wound infection continuum through a literature review and formal Delphi process. This article discusses some significant changes made to the wound infection continuum, including changes in terminology used to describe phases of wound infection, and distinction between early (covert) signs of local infection and the overt classic Celsian signs. Clinical indicators of potential biofilm in a wound, which were agreed on by experts as part of the Delphi process, are explored. An overview of strategies to manage local wound infection, and the important role of antiseptics in providing an option for early action against microbial proliferation, are also presented.

Wound infection is defined as the presence of a wound environment characterised by microbes in sufficiently large numbers, or of sufficient virulence to provoke an immune response locally, systemically or both. Whenever there is a break in the skin integrity, bacteria can enter the body and begin multiplying. Without the protective barrier of the skin, sensitive tissues in the wound bed are vulnerable to microbial colonisation. When microorganisms invade a wound and start proliferating, local tissue damage occurs and wound healing is disrupted (Eberlein, 2006; Siddiqui and Bernstein, 2010).

**Update to the wound infection continuum**

The wound infection continuum characterises the progression of infection in a wound. A ‘continuum’ is a continuous sequence in which adjacent elements are not perceptibly different from each other, but the extremes are quite distinct. This conceptualisation of the impact that infection has on a wound and the person with a wound as a continuum recognises that distinct stages of infection are difficult to define and identify. However, there is a large and easily distinguishable difference between a non-infected wound and an individual with systemic infection arising from microbes in a wound.

As stages of infection cannot be neatly demarcated, there has been ongoing debate about the distinct wound infection stages and their relationship to clinical signs and symptoms. In 2016, the International Wound Infection Institute (IWII) presented a revision of the wound infection continuum that aligned the phases of infection with the clinical response of the individual and the wound (IWII, 2016). The wound infection continuum revision was developed using a consensus voting (Delphi) process underpinned by a literature review. In an iterative, three-round voting process, experts from the IWII executive committee reached agreement on wound infection definitions and terminology, as well as an update on the conceptualisation and presentation of the wound infection continuum (IWII, 2016).

This process, which used a previously validated and published method, allowed the participants to reach agreement on statements using a valid and reliable that had been adapted to an online process (Haesler et al, 2018).

The first phase of the wound continuum is considered to be contamination. This recognises the well-established fact that all wounds acquire micro-organisms, often from normal flora from the periwound skin. However, if the wound bed does not provide an appropriate environment for the micro-organisms species they will no multiply or persist, and their presence is neither detrimental nor persistent (Cooper, 2005; IWII, 2016).

Contamination describes the point at which microbial species successfully grow and divide. At this point, microbes being to proliferate in a favourable environment, but are not in sufficient levels or virulence to disturb wound healing or provoke an immune response (Dow et al, 1999; Enoch and Harding, 2003). There are no clinical signs and symptoms as the healing trajectory continues as expected; however, laboratory
specimens from the wound would confirm microbes in proliferating numbers.

The term ‘critical colonisation’ has previously been included into the wound infection continuum as a stage following contamination. The term was used to refer to growth of bacteria within a chronic wound without causing the signs and symptoms traditionally associated with infection (Edwards and Harding, 2004). The stage is considered to be when a chronic wound displays signs of delayed healing, and the term implies that a critical number or virulence of microbials has been reached. However, the literature provides no guidance on what this critical level may be, and the term ‘critical colonisation’ has remained poorly defined (White and Cutting, 2005; White et al, 2006). The difficulty in determining when critical colonisation is reached led the IWII Executive Committee to reach
agreement to remove this term from the revised wound infection continuum (IWII, 2016) (see the revised continuum in Figure 1).

Local wound infection — covert and overt signs and symptoms
When bacteria or other microbes present in the wound move deeper into wound tissue and proliferate in a way that overwhelms the immune system (Collier, 2004; Siddiqui and Bernstein, 2010), colonisation progresses to local infection. In this stage, infection is maintained within the bounds of the wound bed, contained in the one location, system or structure.

Local wound infection often presents in a subtle manner that may not be readily identified without conscientious wound assessment and a strong understanding of early indicators that bacteria may be present in quantities or virulence that are a threat (Sibbald et al, 2006; 2007). Wound breakdown, delayed healing, new pocketing and epithelial bridging are early signs that tissue is not healing along the optimal trajectory (Cutting and Harding, 1994; Gardner et al, 2001; Collier, 2004; Gardiner and Frantz, 2008).

Recognising the earliest signs and symptoms of wound infection is essential. Intervening promptly with appropriate infection management strategies is important for preventing more extensive local tissue damage and avoiding further microbial proliferation, delays to wound healing and potential severe sequelae. These early and subtle indicators of local wound infection gradually emerge (particularly in chronic wounds) and are, therefore, referred to in the 2016 update of the Wound Infection in Clinical Practice consensus document (IWII, 2016) as covert signs of infection.

Further along the wound infection continuum, when the host response to microbial invasion increases, the classic signs of local wound infection become evident. Purulent discharge, erythema and swelling, local warmth, increasing malodour and new or increasing pain are classic signs of infection in any organ of the body (Sibbald et al, 2003; IWII, 2016). The classic signs of local wound infection reflect the host’s inflammatory response. As the host responds, the increase in local blood flow causes a local warmth. Erythema and pain develop due to activation of vasoactive mediators (e.g. histamine). Purulence and malodour are produced by bacteria processes (IWII, 2016).

In wounds, these classic signs of infection are also accompanied by delays in wound healing beyond expectations. When bacteria inhibit the development of healthy granulation tissue, undermining can occur. Friable granulation tissue can occur due to bacterial burden interfering with healthy collagen formation (Sibbald et al, 2003). Because the classic Celsius signs of local wound infection are generally easy to detect by simply visualising the wound bed, the IWII refers to this stage on the wound infection continuum as overt (classic) signs of local infection (IWII, 2016).

Beyond the wound — later stages of the wound infection continuum
When pathogens proliferate beyond the bounds of the wound, spreading infection has occurred (World Union of Wound Healing Societies [WUWHS], 2008; Leaper et al, 2012). If uncontained by the host response, microbial infection begins to spread beyond the wound into other local structures, including deeper tissue, surrounding tissue, muscle, fascia and local organs. The immune response to wound infection also becomes evident outside the bounds of the wound. Signs and symptoms of spreading infection include extending erythema, extending induration, crepitus and inflammation of the lymph glands and lymphatic vessel walls (lymphangitis).

The individual might lose their appetite and experience malaise, lethargy and other non-specific deterioration (WUWHS, 2008; Leaper et al, 2012; IWII, 2016). During this phase, the wound bed continues to experience dehiscence with or without satellite lesions of infection breakdown, while the overt signs of local wound infection remain apparent.

Systemic infection is said to occur when the impact of wound infection is on the body as a whole (WUWHS, 2008; Leaper et al, 2012; IWII, 2016). Microbials that entered the host through the wound bed gradually proliferate until they extend throughout the body via the vascular or lymphatic systems (WUWHS, 2008; Leaper et al, 2012; IWII, 2016). Clinical signs and symptoms of this invasion reflect systemic inflammatory response from the host, including severe sepsis and septic shock, organ failure and death.

Biofilm in the wound infection continuum
The revised wound infection continuum recognises the advancing knowledge on biofilm in chronic wounds and its relationship to both microbial action and clinical signs and symptoms. It is now recognised that as microbials increase in number and virulence, biofilm form, mature and continually disperse (Cutting and McGuire, 2015; Nouraldin et al, 2016; Uppuluri and Lopez-Ribot, 2016). Biofilm is likely to be present in chronic wounds that have sufficient bacterial virulence or numbers to evoke an inflammatory and immune response from the host.
Clinical signs and symptoms that biofilm may be present reflect those of early local infection, including increasing exudate and moisture, low level inflammation and erythema, poor granulation or friable hypergranulation. Biofilm may be present, especially in chronic wounds that have delayed healing in optimal conditions, recalcitrance to antimicrobial therapy and failure of appropriate antibiotics to resolve symptoms. These clinical indicators of potential biofilm presence in a chronic wound were agreed on by the IWII Executive Committee using a formal Delphi process and underpinned by a literature review of the current scientific evidence (IWII, 2016).

When the clinical indicators listed above are present, biofilm-based wound care, which includes appropriate cleansing, wound bed debridement and topical antiseptics, is an appropriate course of management (Rhoads et al, 2008; Leaper et al, 2012; Wolcott, 2015; Bianchi et al, 2016). The 2016 update of the Wound Infection in Clinical Practice document outlines implementation of these interventions to address biofilm-based wound care strategies to best effect (IWII, 2016).

Addressing wound infection
In addition to outlining the stages of wound infection as they relate to the pathophysiological action of microbials increasing in number and vigilance, the wound infection continuum provides guidance on interventions that are appropriate at each stage.

In the early stages, when microbial colonisation has not interfered with the host's health or the wound healing process, being alert to the risks of local infection is important. In these (and other) stages on the wound infection continuum, implementing strategies to prevent cross-infection, facilitating wound drainage, using antimicrobial dressings and optimising the wound bed environment, all optimise healing and reduce the risk of the wound being overcome by bacterial proliferation.

Optimising the health of the individual, including management of comorbidities and optimisation of nutritional status, is important to strengthening the potential of the immune response to respond rapidly and effectively (WUWHS, 2007; Australian Wound Management Association and New Zealand Wound Care Society, 2012; National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel et al, 2014; Lipsky et al, 2016).

When signs of local wound infection emerge, rapid response with appropriate management strategies is imperative. It is at this point that having the clinical skills to identify covert signs of infection is an advantage. When the earliest indicators of local infection are evident, more aggressive treatment should be initiated. This generally includes the use of topical antimicrobial therapies, including antibacterial wound dressings and topical antiseptics (Leaper et al, 2012).

Antiseptics are non-selective solutions that disrupt the ability of microbials to proliferate. However, antiseptics have potential adverse effects, as some are cytotoxic (may kill healthy wound tissues). As cytotoxicity is thought to be concentration dependent (Siddiqui et al, 2010; Leaper et al, 2012), antiseptic use is recommended at the lowest concentration, and preparations that cause more excessive tissue damage (e.g. hydrogen peroxide and sodium hypochlorite) are no longer recommended (IWII, 2016). A wide range of antiseptics appropriate for use in the stages of covert local infection, overt local infection and beyond are described in the 2016 update of the Wound Infection in Clinical Practice document, including more guidance on their indications and use (IWII, 2016).

Although antibiotic therapy is not recommended for routine use in promoting wound healing, judicious use of antibiotics when wound overt signs of wound infection are present and there is microbial confirmation of causative agent and its sensitivities (Gürgen, 2014). The global crisis of increasing antibiotic resistance (World Health Organization, 2014; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016) requires that all antibiotic use be reserved for situations in which local interventions are insufficient to control infection.

Conclusion
The revision of the wound infection continuum continues to recognise the stage on the wound infection continuum previously referred to as ‘critical colonisation’. Recognising this stage as covert local infection changes the focus from an undefined level of bacterial proliferation to the clinical signs and symptoms associated with an early inflammatory response from the host. Having the skills and confidence to identify the early, covert signs of infection is important, as reacting early to indicators suggesting that the person’s immune response is overwhelmed prevents severe sequalae of untreated local wound infection. Being able to identify when vigilance should become active intervention is imperative to providing rapid and appropriate management with cleansing and debridement, topical antiseptics and, in cases where the local therapy is inadequate, systemic antibiotics.
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